

# TRANSCEND MEDIA SERVICE

## Solutions-Oriented Peace Journalism

# Portuguese Court Rules PCR Tests as Unreliable & Unlawful to Quarantine People

DEBATING COVID-19 (<https://www.transcend.org/tms/category/debating-covid-19/>), 23 Nov 2020

GreatGameIndia - TRANSCEND Media Service (<https://www.transcend.org/tms/author/?a=GreatGameIndia>)

[From TMS Editor: *Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) is a method widely used to rapidly make millions to billions of copies of a specific DNA sample, allowing scientists to take a very small sample of DNA and amplify it to a large enough amount to study in detail. - Wikipedia*] ([https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Polymerase\\_chain\\_reaction](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Polymerase_chain_reaction))

\*\*\*\*\*

18 Nov 2020 – A Portuguese appeals court has ruled that PCR tests are unreliable and that it is unlawful to quarantine people based solely on a PCR test.

- **EXPOSED:** *How Peter Daszak of EcoHealth Alliance Orchestrated the Natural Origin of Covid-19 Myth*
- **NEW STUDY:** *Even a Military Enforced Lockdown Cannot Stop Coronavirus*



(<https://www.transcend.org/tms/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/Portuguese-Court-Rules-PCR-Tests-As-Unreliable-Unlawful-To-Quarantine-People-696x435-1.jpg>)

The court stated, the test's reliability depends on the number of cycles used and the viral load present. Citing Jaafar et al. 2020 (<https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa1491>), the court concludes that,

*“if someone is tested by PCR as positive when a threshold of 35 cycles or higher is used (as is the rule in most laboratories in Europe and the US), the probability that said person is infected is less than 3%, and the probability that said result is a false positive is 97%.”*

The court further notes that the cycle threshold used for the PCR tests currently being made in Portugal is unknown.

The threshold cycles used in PCR tests in India is between 37 and 40, which makes the [reliability of the PCR test](https://greatgameindia.com/false-positive-covid-19/) (https://greatgameindia.com/false-positive-covid-19/) less than 3% and the false positive rate as high as 97%.

This case concerned the fact that four people had been quarantined by the Regional Health Authority. Of these, one had tested positive for COVID using a PCR test; the other three were deemed to have undergone a high risk of exposure. Consequently, the Regional Health Authority decided that all four were infectious and a health hazard, which required that they go into isolation.

The [court's summary](https://translate.google.com/translate?hl=&sl=pt&tl=en&u=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.dgsi.pt%2Fjtrl.nsf%2F33182fc732316039802565fa00497eec%2F79d6ba338dcb5e28025861f003e7b30) (https://translate.google.com/translate?hl=&sl=pt&tl=en&u=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.dgsi.pt%2Fjtrl.nsf%2F33182fc732316039802565fa00497eec%2F79d6ba338dcb5e28025861f003e7b30) of the case to rule against the Regional Health Authority's appeal reads as follows:

*"Given how much scientific doubt exists — as voiced by experts, i.e., those who matter — about the reliability of the PCR tests, given the lack of information concerning the tests' analytical parameters, and in the absence of a physician's diagnosis supporting the existence of infection or risk, there is no way this court would ever be able to determine whether C was indeed a carrier of the SARS-CoV-2 virus, or whether A, B and D had been at a high risk of exposure to it."*

It is also important to remember PCR was invented as a way to create copies of genetic material. Its was never intended to be a diagnostic tool.

The standard coronavirus tests are throwing up a huge number of positive cases daily. These tests are done based on [faulty WHO protocols](https://greatgameindia.com/coronavirus-testing-protocol-fraud/) (https://greatgameindia.com/coronavirus-testing-protocol-fraud/) which are designed to include false positives cases as well.

This fact about [false positives of PCR Tests](https://greatgameindia.com/false-positive-covid-19/) (https://greatgameindia.com/false-positive-covid-19/) was first noted in public by Dr. Beda M. Stadler, a Swiss biologist, emeritus professor, and former director of the Institute of Immunology at the University of Bern.

*So if we do a PCR corona test on an immune person, it is not a virus that is detected, but a small shattered part of the viral genome. The test comes back positive for as long as there are tiny shattered parts of the virus left. Correct: Even if the infectious viruses are long dead, a corona test can come back positive, because the PCR method multiplies even a tiny fraction of the viral genetic material enough [to be detected].*

Earlier, the [WHO's testing protocol](https://greatgameindia.com/finland-says-whos-coronavirus-protocol-doesnt-work/) was even questioned by Finland's national health authority (https://greatgameindia.com/finland-says-whos-coronavirus-protocol-doesnt-work/). WHO had called on countries to test **as many patients as possible** for coronavirus.

Finland ran out of testing capacity and began limiting coronavirus tests to the most vulnerable groups and healthcare personnel only. Finland's national health authority said that testing people with mild symptoms would be a waste of healthcare resources.

In a startling disclosure, Finland's head of health security, Mika Salminen dismissed WHO advisory saying the [WHO doesn't understand pandemics](https://greatgameindia.com/finland-says-whos-coronavirus-protocol-doesnt-work/) (https://greatgameindia.com/finland-says-whos-coronavirus-protocol-doesnt-work/) and that their Coronavirus testing protocol is illogical and doesn't work.

So, if the WHO's testing protocols are indeed based on the most reliable, accurate and well sourced technologies and research methodologies available worldwide, shouldn't they have known about its negligible effectiveness and its



impact in causing panic and chaos? Indeed the WHO knows it doesn't work and moreover this is not the first time such criticisms have been voiced.

In the past in 2010, the WHO was caught faking a pandemic (<https://greatgameindia.com/who-vaccine-industry/>) and was forced to admit that its methodology of measuring the virality or the spread of the disease, instead of its severity was incorrect.

---

(<https://www.transcend.org/tms/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/GreatGameIndia-Logo.png>) GreatGameIndia (<https://greatgameindia.com/>) is a journal on Geopolitics and International Relations. Get to know the geopolitical threats India is facing in our exclusive book India in Cognitive Dissonance (<https://notionpress.com/read/india-in-cognitive-dissonance>). Past magazine issues can be accessed from the Archives (<https://greatgameindia.com/subscribe/>) section.

Go to Original – greatgameindia.com (<https://greatgameindia.com/portuguese-court-pcr-tests-unreliable/>)

Tags: Bill Gates, COVID-19, Conspiracy Theories, Coronavirus, Economy, Elites, Environment, Health, Lockdown, New World Order, PCR Tests, Pandemic, Public Health, Research, Science and Medicine, Vaccines, WHO, World

**DISCLAIMER:** The statements, views and opinions expressed in pieces republished here are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of TMS. In accordance with title 17 U.S.C. section 107, this material is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving the included information for research and educational purposes. TMS has no affiliation whatsoever with the originator of this article nor is TMS endorsed or sponsored by the originator. "GO TO ORIGINAL" links are provided as a convenience to our readers and allow for verification of authenticity. However, as originating pages are often updated by their originating host sites, the versions posted may not match the versions our readers view when clicking the "GO TO ORIGINAL" links. This site contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available in our efforts to advance understanding of environmental, political, human rights, economic, democracy, scientific, and social justice issues, etc. We believe this constitutes a 'fair use' of any such copyrighted material as provided for in section 107 of the US Copyright Law. In accordance with Title 17 U.S.C. Section 107, the material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving the included information for research and educational purposes. For more information go to: <http://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/17/107.shtml>. If you wish to use copyrighted material from this site for purposes of your own that go beyond 'fair use', you must obtain permission from the copyright owner.

## 26 Responses to "Portuguese Court Rules PCR Tests as Unreliable & Unlawful to Quarantine People"

*Landmark legal ruling finds that Covid tests are not fit for purpose. So what do the MSM do? They ignore it –*

1. *Conservative Beaver* says:

27 Nov 2020 at 08:09

[...] institutions are clashing with received wisdom on Covid. Finland's national health authority has disputed the WHO's recommendation to test as many people as possible for coronavirus, saying it would be a [...]

*Landmark legal ruling finds that Covid tests are not fit for purpose. So what do the MSM do? They ignore it –*

2. *RT Op-ed – Nation-States Relations* says:

27 Nov 2020 at 08:21

[...] institutions are clashing with received wisdom on Covid. Finland's national health authority has disputed the WHO's recommendation to test as many people as possible for coronavirus, saying it would be a [...]

*Landmark legal ruling finds that Covid tests are not fit for purpose. So what do the MSM do? They ignore it |*

3. *Tales from the Conspiratum 3* says:

27 Nov 2020 at 10:08

[...] institutions are clashing with received wisdom on Covid. Finland's national health authority has disputed the WHO's recommendation to test as many people as possible for coronavirus, saying it would be [...]

*Portugal & Corona: Testing, especially PCR testing, is the basis for the entire house of cards of Covid*

4. *restrictions that are wreaking havoc worldwide – Niki's Opinion Forum* says:

28 Nov 2020 at 06:56

[...] are clashing with received wisdom on Covid. Finland's national health authority has disputed the WHO's recommendation to test as many people as possible for coronavirus, saying it would [...]

*Landmark legal ruling finds that Covid tests are not fit for purpose. So what do the MSM do? They ignore it |*

5. *The Irish Sentinel* says:

28 Nov 2020 at 10:11

[...] are clashing with received wisdom on Covid. Finland's national health authority has disputed the WHO's recommendation to test as many people as possible for coronavirus, saying it would [...]

*Landmark Legal Ruling Finds That Covid Tests Not Fit For Purpose – So What Does MSM do? They Ignore It*

6. – *NewsWars* says:

28 Nov 2020 at 16:37

[...] are clashing with received wisdom on Covid. Finland's national health authority has disputed the WHO's recommendation to test as many people as possible for coronavirus, saying it [...]

*Landmark Legal Ruling Finds That Covid Tests Not Fit For Purpose – So What Does MSM Do? They Ignore It*

7. – *Collabor8 News* says:

28 Nov 2020 at 16:56

[...] institutions are clashing with received wisdom on Covid. Finland's national health authority has disputed the WHO's recommendation to test as many people as possible for coronavirus, saying it would be [...]

*Landmark Legal Ruling Finds That Covid Tests Not Fit For Purpose – So What Does MSM do? They Ignore It*

8. | *altnews.org* says:

28 Nov 2020 at 17:30

[...] are clashing with received wisdom on Covid. Finland's national health authority has disputed the WHO's recommendation to test as many people as possible for coronavirus, saying it [...]

*LA CORTE D'APPELLO DI LISBONA DICHIARA I TEST PCR INATTENDIBILI AL 93%. I MEDIA*

9. *MAINSTREAM IGNORANO - Database Italia* says:

28 Nov 2020 at 18:15

[...] scontrando con la dottrina diffusa sul Covid. L'autorità sanitaria nazionale finlandese ha contestato la raccomandazione dell'OMS di testare quante più persone possibile per il coronavirus, [...]

*Landmark Legal Ruling Finds That Covid Tests Not Fit For Purpose – So What Does MSM do? They Ignore It*

10. says:

28 Nov 2020 at 22:11

[...] institutions are clashing with received wisdom on Covid. Finland's national health authority has disputed the WHO's recommendation to test as many people as possible for coronavirus, saying it would be [...]

11. *Landmark Legal Ruling Finds That Covid-19 Tests Not Fit For Purpose* says:

28 Nov 2020 at 22:26



[...] institutions are clashing with received wisdom on Covid. Finland's national health authority has disputed the WHO's recommendation to test as many people as possible for coronavirus, saying it would be [...]

*Landmark legal ruling finds that Covid tests are not fit for purpose. So what do the MSM do? They ignore it –*

12. *geopolitic* says:

29 Nov 2020 at 00:30

[...] are clashing with received wisdom on Covid. Finland's national health authority has disputed the WHO's recommendation to test as many people as possible for coronavirus, saying it would [...]

*Landmark legal ruling finds that Covid tests are not fit for purpose. So what do the MSM do? They ignore it |*

13. *From the Trenches World Report* says:

29 Nov 2020 at 01:31

[...] institutions are clashing with received wisdom on Covid. Finland's national health authority has disputed the WHO's recommendation to test as many people as possible for coronavirus, saying it would be a [...]

14. *Covid-19: Widely used PCR test is up to 97-percent unreliable.* says:

29 Nov 2020 at 02:16

[...] institutions are clashing with received wisdom on Covid. Finland's national health authority has disputed the WHO's recommendation to test as many people as possible for coronavirus, saying it would be [...]

*Landmark legal ruling finds that Covid tests are not fit for purpose. So what do the MSM do? They ignore it -*

15. *Planet Free Will* says:

29 Nov 2020 at 14:00

[...] are clashing with received wisdom on Covid. Finland's national health authority has disputed the WHO's recommendation to test as many people as possible for coronavirus, saying it would [...]

*LA CORTE D'APPELLO DI LISBONA DICHIARA I TEST PCR INATTENDIBILI AL 93%. I MEDIA*

16. *MAINSTREAM IGNORANO – Dott. Roberto Petrella medico ginecologo* says:

29 Nov 2020 at 22:40

[...] scontrando con la dottrina diffusa sul Covid. L'autorità sanitaria nazionale finlandese ha contestato la raccomandazione dell'OMS di testare quante più persone possibile per il coronavirus, [...]

17. *Prelomna sodba ugotovila, da Covid testi niso ustrezni za ta namen | Alternative media blog* says:

30 Nov 2020 at 03:16

[...] katere inštitucije se tepejo med seboj zaradi prejetih novih "modrosti" glede Covid. Finska državna zdravstvena oblast oporeka priporočilom WHO, da testirajo čim več oseb na prisotnost koronavirusa. Finska je WHO odgovorila, da gre v tem [...]

*The test that was never meant to test for viruses, used to test for a virus that has never been proven to exist,*

18. *now found to be NOT FIT FOR PURPOSE in legal ruling – The Daily Expose* says:

2 Dec 2020 at 20:34

[...] institutions are clashing with received wisdom on Covid. Finland's national health authority has disputed the WHO's recommendation to test as many people as possible for coronavirus, saying it would be [...]

*Landmark legal ruling finds that Covid tests are not fit for purpose. So what do the MSM do? They ignore it –*

19. *Philosophers Stone* says:

3 Dec 2020 at 09:21

[...] are clashing with received wisdom on Covid. Finland's national health authority has disputed the WHO's recommendation to test as many people as possible for coronavirus, saying it would [...]

*Ron Paul Institute: Landmark legal ruling finds that Covid tests are not fit for purpose. So what do the MSM do? They ignore it – chaos* says:

4 Dec 2020 at 09:20

[...] are clashing with received wisdom on Covid. Finland's national health authority has disputed the WHO's recommendation to test as many people as possible for coronavirus, saying it would [...]

21. *MSM deathly silent as PCR test legally ruled useless to test for Covid - Prepare For Change* says:

5 Dec 2020 at 18:12

[...] institutions are clashing with received wisdom on Covid. Finland's national health authority has disputed the WHO's recommendation to test as many people as possible for coronavirus, saying it would be [...]

*Landmark Legal Ruling Finds That Covid Tests Are Not Fit For Purpose – So The MSM Ignores It – The World We Live In* says:

8 Dec 2020 at 13:29

[...] national health authority has disputed the WHO's recommendation to test as many people as possible for coronavirus, saying it would [...]

23. *Landmark Legal Ruling Finds That Covid Tests Are Not Fit for Purpose – So the MSM Ignores It* says:

8 Dec 2020 at 13:30

[...] national health authority has disputed the WHO's recommendation to test as many people as possible for coronavirus, saying it would be a [...]

24. *Gov still lying about PCR test fraud as attacks on communities & enterprise continue – UK Reloaded* says:

26 Dec 2020 at 08:32

[...] institutions are clashing with received wisdom on Covid. Finland's national health authority has disputed the WHO's recommendation to test as many people as possible for coronavirus, saying it would be [...]

25. *Gov still lying about PCR test fraud as attacks on communities & enterprise continue* | says:

26 Dec 2020 at 10:10

[...] institutions are clashing with received wisdom on Covid. Finland's national health authority has disputed the WHO's recommendation to test as many people as possible for coronavirus, saying it would be [...]

*Portugal: Landmark legal ruling finds that Covid tests are not fit for purpose. So what do the MSM do? They ignore – MicstagesUK ~* says:

28 Dec 2020 at 11:28

[...] are clashing with received wisdom on Covid. Finland's national health authority has disputed the WHO's recommendation to test as many people as possible for coronavirus, saying it would [...]